



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,549	08/16/2006	Franz Ulrich Brockhoff	016382-9017	8492

7590 01/22/2008
Mark A Ussal
Michael Best & Friedrich
Suite 3300
100 East Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108

EXAMINER

PEDDER, DENNIS H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3612

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/22/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/589,549	Applicant(s) BROCKHOFF, FRANZ ULRICH	
	Examiner Dennis H. Pedder	Art Unit 3612	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dennis H. Pedder. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Ussal. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: References of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant stated that the Breitschwerdt et al. reference of record is alleged to be inconsistent with the rejection references proposed due to the desire of this patentee to have open air motoring without removing any components and that the removable structure of the rear window, unlike that proposed in the office action, is necessitated by the requirement for access to the trunk lid. Applicant to submit formal response with these arguments for detailed review.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dennis H. Pedder/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.